

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1485 OF 2006

Rachit H. Mehta ... Petitioner

Versus

Airport Authority of India Ltd.
and anr. ... Respondents

Mr.Suneet Moholkar i/by Mr. Kiran Bhalerao for
Petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Saluja i/by M.V. Kini & Co. for R. No. 1.

Mr. P.S. Jetly with Mr. Y.R. Mishra and Mr.
N.R. Prajapati for R. No. 2.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
J.P.DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATED: JULY 25, 2007**

P.C.:

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. Pursuant to order of this court dated 18.7.2007
on behalf of the respondents No. 1 the learned
counsel makes a statement that the respondent No. 1
has taken a decision to sell the goods to the e
Petitioner for the price which he had bid.
Statement made on behalf of the Respondent No. 1
accepted.

. On behalf of the Petitioners, the learned counsel
contends that once the respondent No. 1 informed

him that he bid is accepted, he has to make balance payment within three days. It is pointed out that considering that the matter was pending for 1.1/2 years now they will have to make arrangement to raise money and seeks two weeks additional time to make the payment. On behalf of the respondent No. 1 the learned counsel states that instead of three days as provided under the contrary, they have agreed to extend the time upto 2nd August, 2007 till 5.00 p.m. Statement made on behalf of the respondent No. 1 extending time upto 2.8.2007 by 5 P.M. is accepted. Needles so say that the Petitioners will be bound by the terms and conditions of the auction. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

(J.P.DEVADHAR, J.)

(F.I.REBELLO, J.)